

Motivational Strategies to Fruitful Learning: Perspectives of Students Nurses from Training Schools in Fako, South West Region, Cameroon

Atanga M.B.S.¹*, Nkezea A.S.² and Ntui A.M.³

Accepted 27 March, 2015

¹Department of Nursing and Midwifery, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Bamenda, Cameroon. ²Training School for State Registered Nurses, Limbe, Cameroon. ³Department of Curriculum Studies, Faculty of Education, University of Buea, Cameroon.

ABSTRACT

This study onmotivational strategies to fruitful learning by student nurses investigated aassessment, feedback, and the classroom environment in order to assess their effectiveness in enhancing learning. Most often than not teaching of nursing student neglect looking at what could motivate students to fruitful learning, hence, the learning may not be effective as when the motivational factors are known and used. A cross sectional study of three schools in Fako division, South-West Region, Cameroon was survey on what will motivate them to learning. The questionnaire was used as the instrument for data collection with all the objectives clearly represented. Two hundred and eight randomly selected students from the three schools found to make up 30% of the total student population in the schools was used in the study. Data was analysed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, frequencies and proportion techniques, and presented in tables. Results have been analysed following student's opinion of the motivation, collapsed opinion, Spearman's correlation and associations between school and level of students. It is hoped that the opinion of the students will enable nurse educators to encourage learning by making use of these strategies as they improve on learning outcome.

Keywords: Nursing, students, learning, motivation, learning outcome.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: mbisuh@yahoo.com.

INTRODUCTION

Motivation to learning can be influenced by various factors and situations. These factors among others could be knowledge of the teacher, attitudes of the teacher, environmental factors or socio-economic. Most often, research has centred on the socio economic and personal factors, but recognition of these motivational factors by the teachers encourages the teaching and learning process rather than some activities like assessment, feedback and classroom environment. In Cameroon, educators recognize the need to motivate students and have noted motivation as one of the factors considered in the teaching and learning process (Tambo, 2003).

Assessment on its part, for example is the activity

undertaken by teachers and others in the educative process to measure the effectiveness of teaching and learning. It includes setting, marking, recording and reporting of results (Haydn, 2005). It serves as a major motivational factor in learning because during an assessment, students are challenged to make recalls and take initiative (McMillan, 2000). Assessment methods and prerequisites have a greater influence on how and what students learn than other factors (Boud, 1988; Miller and Parlett, 1974). Bostock (2001) noted that; "assessment drives learning through motivation". Assessment can serve as good motivation when it covers the entire course unit or curriculum, thereby encouraging meaningful learning. Thus, it is important to find out whether assessment is properly used in order to motivate nursing students. Bostock (2001) concluded by saying positive motivation can be generated by a careful design and evaluation of innovative assessments: objective testing, peer group and self-assessments in order to understand its impact on students' learning.

Feedback is a formative process which gives information to learners about how they are doing and whether they are on the right track when learning something (Capel and Gevis, 2005). McManus (2005) remarked that we cannot be expected to improve, "especially in the manner that is expected of us if we do not receive enough of the right kind of feedback about our current performance," Castling (1996) stressed that: "all feedback should be specific, positive, accurate and recorded". Learning is an on going process, and in order for it to continue, it is obvious that learners need to know what they have achieved in order to make learning meaningful (Boulton, 2009). McManus (2005) also emphasised on the quality and quantity of feedback given in order to bring about motivation. He suggested that in order for feedback to serve as motivation, performance expectations should be clearly defined, frequent positive feedback provided to specific expectations, and emotionally charged negative feedback avoided. Therefore, it is important to find out the nature of feedback given and its effect on nursing students' learning. The classroom environment refers to the various stimuli: objects, activities, persons and situations that are in constant competition for the learner' attention (Tambo, 2003). Furthermore, Tambo noted that when a teacher is aware of the various stimuli that compete for students' attention, he or she will be better placed to motivate students. Therefore, it is essential to look at how teachers use the classroom environment as a motivational strategy and its effect on nursing students' learning. Noting that, the Classroom atmosphere refers to the types of reward structures used to motivate students (Micheals, 1977) as cited in Biehler and Snowman(1986) exist such as: i.) Individual competition: a small number of students obtain the greatest reward, at the expense of other students.

ii.) Group competition: group of students collaborate with

each other to compete with other groups for the available reward.

iii.) Group reward: distribution of reward which is based entirely on the quality of group performance.

iv.) Individual reward: the rewards achieved by individual students are independent of the rewards of other students. Johnson and Johnson (1974) in Bielher and Snowman, (1986) found that cooperative reward structures are more effective in learning and help students develop a positive attitude, especially towards subject area, instructional activities, and other students. However, other authors recommend a combination of both competitive or cooperative and individual or group reward structures to strengthen motivation and achievement for all students.

Classroom management basically entails the establishment and maintenance of class rules for the facilitation of teaching and learning (Tambo, 2003). Studies have shown that when the classroom is well managed, students are able to complete clear assignments in a busy but pleasant atmosphere (Brophy, 1979; Good, 1982). Students learn better when the teacher states and calls their attention to class rules. Therefore, it is essential to investigate the effect of the classroom environment on nursing students' learning.

Statement of Problem

The education of nurses requires that the nurse should be well trained to be competent and full of skills. This can be achieved better when nursing students are motivated to learn. The various strategies that motivate students to learn include assessment, feedback and classroom environment but unfortunately not all nurse educators are neither aware of these, nor aware of their use and more so aware of the effectiveness they have learning and learning outcome. Due to this, the study was carried out to seek the opinion of students so as to demonstrate the importance of the use of motivational strategies for better learning outcome as adequate learning will fail to take place in the absence of sufficient motivation (Fontana, 1981). Hence, in motivating a nurse learner, there must be a better learning outcome and a reduction in the inadequate nursing care/practice observed in some health institutions.

Research question

How do these factors as motivation strategies affect nursing students' learning?

i.) Assessment (ii.) Feedback (iii.) Classroom environment.

Objectives

i.) To assess nursing students perceptions of assessment

as motivation strategy to fruitful learning outcomes.

ii.) To assess nursing students perceptions of feedback as motivation strategy to fruitful learning outcomes.

iii.) To assess nursing students perceptions of classroom environment as motivation strategy to fruitful learning outcomes.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

A cross sectional survey of sampled student nurses was carried out to assess some motivational strategies deemed necessary for a fruitful learning outcome. A survey was ideal for the present study because motivation is a concept that cannot be measured, or directly observed. Thus, it can only be described as it is or would be. In it both quantitative and qualitative measurements were used. Qualitative in that words have been used to analysethe data with quantitative figures used alongside based on the four points Likert- scale (Nana, 2010).In the context of this study, the focus was on concepts that emerged from qualitative data and the concepts were subsequently integrated in the structured questionnaire and probed over a larger sample.

The population of the study was from three schools:Department of nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Buea, Training School for Health Personnel, State Registered Nursing, Limbe and St. Francis School of Health Sciences, (State Enrolled Nursing), Buea because they all had a population that was greater than the sum total of the 30% chosen as sample size. Their choice was also because they cover the various programmes of nursing training in Cameroon. Data were collected from students in order to obtain students' perception on the effects of motivational strategies on their learning. The multistage sampling techniques was used beginning with purposive sampling technique of the schools in Fako (schools that were appropriate for the study), while nursing students at all levels were selected using the simple random sampling method. The instrument for data collection was the questionnaire with close and open ended questions which was a rearranged to ensure that there was a reflection of the specific objectives of the study. Students' responses were organised on a four-point Likert-scale:

Strongly Disagree (SD), Disagree (D), Agree (A), Strongly Agree (SA). Quality control was censured by validity and reliability using the content validity index (CVI). To arrive at the statements that were judged valid the inter-judge coefficient of validity was computed using the following formula:CVI = (No of judges declared item valid)/ (total No of judges)2/2 = 1

Were 1 represents the inter-judge coefficient validity for an item and is repeated for all the items of the instrument in order to compute an average, thus: CVI for questionnaire2/2 = 1

RESULTS

It was very difficult to obtain all the 208 responses for all the questions hence the results range from 203 to 208 for the various issues under discussion. From the research question, 1 was deemed as:Does the use of assessment as a motivational strategy have an effect on nursing students' learning? Corresponding to specific objective 1: To identify nursing students' perception of assessment as a motivational strategy.

Table1 shows the distribution of responses of the various components of assessment on a four point Likertscale, Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Agree and Strongly Agree.Table 2 shows the Collapsed distribution of responses for the identification of motivational components of assessment and its effect on learning, with Strongly Disagree and Disagree collapsed as Disagree, Agree, and Strongly Agree collapsed as Agree.

In Table2, the respondents indicated that nurse teachers make use of all the motivational components of assessment, except the second item. Students are always told what areas of a topic or course would be covered for the purpose of assessment (tests, exams).In all, 113 students (54.4%) disagreed with the indicator against 95 students (45.6%) who agreed. 115 (56.1%) students agreed that they always understood the requirements of assessment while 90 (43.9%) students disagreed. Finally, 179 students (88.2%) agreed that assessment served as motivation to learn while 24 students (11.8%) disagreed.

Interpretation of Results

The correlation coefficient, r for the effect of assessment on learning is 0.800, this value lies within the range of $0.75 \le r < 1$. This implies that there is a very strong positive correlation between assessment as a motivational strategy and nursing students' learning. The P-value associated to r is 0.000. This value of p<0.01 (**), implies that the correlation is significant at the 0.01 level. Thus, it is not likely that the relationship between assessment and learning occurred by chance. This implies that students perceived the use of assessment as having a very strong positive influence on their learning (Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4).

Perception of students on feedback as a motivational strategy

Does the use of feedback as a motivational strategy have

Table 1. Opinion of students on assessment as a motivational strategy.

Perceived use of Assessment as a motivational strategy	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Ν
Our tests and assignments always cover all that was taught for particular topics and exams cover all that was taught for the particular course.	19(9.2%)	56 (27.1%)	88 (42.5%)	44 (21.8%)	207
Students are always told what areas of a topic or course would be covered for the purpose of assessment (tests, exams).	44(21.2%)	69(63.2%)	60 (28.8%)	35 (16.8%)	208
Our assessments always reflect the learning objectives stated during the teaching of the course	3(1.4%)	15(7.2%)	136 (65.7%)	53 (25.6%)	207
Multiple Response Analyses	66 (10.6%)	140 22.5%)	284 (45.7%)	132 (21.2%)	622
Effects of Assessment always understand exactly what the teacher expects from me during assessments. I increase my study time when I know that	11(5.4%)	79(38.5%)	96 (46.8%)	19 (9.3%)	205
assessments would cover a broad section or entire course work in order to earn a better grade, than when t would not.	5(2.5%)	19(9.4%)	89 (43.8%)	90 (44.3%)	203
Multiple Response Analyses	16 (3.9%)	98 (24.0%)	185 (45.3%)	109 (26.7%)	408

Table 2. Collapsed opinion of students on the use and effects of assessment as a motivational strategy.

Perceived use of Assessment as a Motivational Strategy	Disagree	Agree	Ν
Our tests and assignments always cover all that was taught for particular topics and exams cover all that was taught for the particular course.	75 (36.2%)	132(63.8%)	207
Students are always told what areas of a topic or course would be covered for the purpose of assessment (tests, exams)	113(54.4%)	95(45.6%) 189	208
Our assessments always reflect the learning objectives stated during the teaching of the course	18 (8.6%)	(91.4%) 416	207
Multiple Response Analyses Effects of Assessment	206 33.1%)	(66.9%)	622
		115	
I always understand exactly what the teacher expects from me during assessments. I increase my study time when I know that assessments would cover a broad section or entire	90(43.9%)	(56.1%) 179	205
course work in order to earn a better grade, than when it would not.	24(11.8%)	(88.2%)	203
Multiple Response Analyses	114 (27.9%)	294 (72.1%)	408

an effect on nursing students' learning? The results on table 6 have shown that 117 respondents (56.8%) out of 206 indicated that they are not always provided with feedback while 89 students (43.2%) agreed with the feedback component. Some 155 (75.2%) respondents out of 206 as opposed to 51 respondents (24.8%) also indicated that (when feedback is provided), it is infrequent and not timely.

Though the feedback provided usually carries negatively charged comments 118 respondents (57.3%) out of 206 agreed as opposed to 88 respondents (42.7%) who disagreed. The other 122 (58.7%) respondents out of 208 agreed that feedback points to the areas of students' weakness and specify what exactly they need to know in order to improve against 86 (41.6%) respondents who disagreed.

Interpretation of Results

The correlation coefficient, r for the effect of feedback on learning is 0.000. When r = 0, it implies there is no correlation between the variables. The corresponding p-value 1.000 is too large to be considered significant. Since the p value is large, it implies the data does not give any reason to conclude that the relationship is real. This implies that nursing students perceived the use of feedback as having a weak influence on their learning (Tables 5, 6, 7 and 8).

Students' perception on classroom environment as a motivational strategy

Does the use of the classroom environment as a motiva-

 Table 3. The Association between assessment as a motivational strategy and schools.

			Chi-Square	
Assessment	School	Agree	test	Comment
	FHS	36 50.0%)		There was a significant association
Our tests and assignments always	TSHP	30(48.4%)		between this indicator and schools.
cover all that was taught for				SFSHS students perceived that their
particular topics and exams cover				assessments were more extensive with
all that which was taught for the			χ2=34.684 Df=2	respect to course work than students of
particular course.	SFCHS	66(90.4%)	P=0.000	TSHP and FHS.
	FHS	20(27.8%)		The perception of students in relation to
Students are always told what	TSHP	24(38.1%)		this indicator differed significantly among
areas of a topic or course would				the three schools. FHS students agreed
be covered for the purpose of			χ2=27.966 Df=2	the least of being aware of the area their
assessment (tests, exams)	SFCHS	51(69.9%)	P=0.000	assessments would cover.
Our assessments always reflect	FHS	62(86.1%)		
the learning objectives stated	TSHP	60(95.2%)	χ2=3.952 Df=2	There was no significant association
during the teaching of the course	SFCHS	67(93.1%)	P=0.139	between this indicator and schools.
I always understand exactly what	FHS	32 45.1%)	0 40 000 Df 0	Students' perception with regards to this
the teacher expects from me	TSHP	31(50.8%)	χ2=10.986 Df=2	indicator was significantly dependent on
during assessments.	SFCHS	52(71.2%)	P=0.004	school.
I increase my study time when I know that assessments would	FHS TSHP	68(95.8%)		
cover a broad section or entire	1945	52(83.9%)		There evides a significant approximition
course work in order to earn a				There exists a significant association between this indicator and students'
better grade, than when it would			γ2=6.051 Df=2	perception which is independent of
not.	SFCHS	59(84.3%)	χ2=0.031 DI=2 P=0.049	school.
The amount of time I spend	01010	53(04.570)	1 -0.043	301001.
studying does not change whether				The association between this indicator
the course work for assessment			χ2=36.44 Df=2	and school was significantly dependent
increases or not.	FHS	9 (12.7%)	P=0.000	on school.
	1110	5 (12.170)	1 -0.000	011 3011001.

Table 4. The association between assessment as a motivational strategy and level of students.

Assessment	Level of students	Agree	Chi-Square test	Comment
	1 st year	58 (74.4%)	-	There was a significant
Our tests and assignments always cover all that was taught for	2 nd year	53 (63.9%)		association between this indicator and level of students
particular topics and exams cover all that was taught for the particular	3 rd & 4 th		χ2=10.321 Df=2	though the association slightly differed for third and fourth year
c .		21 (15 70/)	P=0.006	students.
course.	year 1 st year	21 (45.7%) 49 (62.8%)	F=0.000	
	2 nd year	49 (02.8%) 35 (41.7%)		There was a significant association between this
Students are always told what	z year	35 (41.776)		indicator and level of student was
areas of a topic or course would be				significant. Students' perception
covered for the purpose of	3 rd & 4 th		χ2=18.565 Df=2	was dependent on level of the
assessment (tests, exams).	vear	11 (23.9%)	P=0.000	student.
	1 st year	71 (92.2%)	1 =0.000	First, second, third and fourth
	2 nd year	77 (91.7%)		year students all agreed more
	_)00.			that their assessments always
Our assessments always reflect				reflected the course learning
he learning objectives stated	3 ^{rd & 4th}		χ2=0.367 Df=2	objectives; though the
during the teaching of the course	vear	41 (89.1%)	P=0.832	association was not significant.
5 5	1 st year	49 (62.8%)		Students of all levels agreed, o
	2 nd year	42 (51.7%)		being aware of the requirements
	-	. ,		of assessments, and second
				year student agreed the least
always understand exactly what				However, the association of this
the teacher expects from me	3 rd & 4 th		χ2=2.857 Df=2	item and level of student was
during assessments.	year	24 (52.2%)	P=0.240	insignificant.

Table 4. contd.

	1 st			
I increase my study time when I know	year 2 nd	66 (86.8%)		
that assessments would cover a broad	year	73 (89.0%)		
section or entire course work in order to	3 rd & 4 th		0 4 040 Df 0	The association between this
earn a better grade, than when it would not.	4 vear	40 (88.9%)	χ2=1.016 Df=2 P=0.602	indicator and level of student was not significant.
	,	· · · · ·		There was no statistically
The amount of time I spend studying				significant association between
does not change whether the course	1 st		χ2=1.016 Df=2	this indicator and level of
work for assessment increases or not.	year	27 (35.5%)	P=0.602	student.

Table 5. Opinion of students on the use and effects of feedback as motivational strategy.

Perceived use of Feedback strategies	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	N
I always get written information (feedback) from my					
teachers concerning my performance after assessments	52 (25.2%)	65 (31.6%)	69 (33.5%)	20 (9.7%)	206
Feedback from my teachers is frequent and timely The feedback I get always points to the areas of my	65 (31.6%)	90 (43.7%)	38 (18.4%)	13 (6.3%)	206
weakness and specify what exactly I need to know in order to improve	39 (13.8%)	47 (22.6%)	77 (37.0%)	45 (21.6%)	208
Teachers usually criticize students when they fail in assessments*	24 (11.7%)	64 (31.1%)	82 39.8%)	36 (17.5%)	206
Multiple Response Analyses (RMA)	192 23.2%)	284 (4.4%)	248 (0.0%)	102 (12.3%)	826
Effects of Feedback When I <i>get</i> adequate (prompt, specific, and positive) feedback from my teachers, I focus more on the specified goals I need to achieve.	6 (3.0%)	30 (14.8%)	119 (58.6%)	48 (23.6%)	203

* Reversed in the MRA.

 Table 6.
 Collapsed Opinion of students on the use and effect of feedback as motivational strategy.

Perceived use of Feedback as motivational strategy	Disagree	Agree	Ν
I always get written information (feedback) from my teachers concerning my performance after assessments	117 (56.8%)	89 (43.2%)	206
Feedback from my teachers is frequent and timely	155 (75.2%)	51(24.8%)	206
The feedback I get always points to the areas of my weakness and specify what exactly I need to know in order to improve	86 (41.3%)	122 (58.7%)	208
Teachers usually criticize students when they fail in assessments*	88(42.7%)	118 (57.3%)	206
Multiple Response Analyses	476 (57.6%)	350 (42.4%)	826
Effects of Feedback			
When I get adequate (prompt, specific, and positive) feedback from my teachers, I focus more on the specified goals I need to achieve.	36 (17.7%)	167 (82.3%)	203

*Reversed for MRA.

tional strategy have an effect on nursing students' learning?Results from Tables 9, 10,11 and 12 show that students perceived that nurse teachers' use of the physical environment of the classroom adequately to serve as motivation for students to learn. This is shown by the responses of the first and second items with 126

respondents (60.1%) and 116 respondents (80.2%) who agreed as opposed to 81 respondents (39.1%) and 41 respondents (19.8%) who disagreed respectively. In addition, 156 respondents (76.5%) out of 204 agreed that they found it difficult to lear in a dirty and disorganised class, while 48 respondents (23.5%) disagreed.

Table 7. The association between feedback as a motivational strategy and schools.

Feedback		Agree	Chi-Square test	Comment
I always get written information (feedback) from my	FHS	18 (25.0%)		Students' perception in relation
teachers concerning my performance after	TSHP	21 (33.9%)	χ2=32.128 Df=2	to this item differed among the
assessments	SFCHS	50 (69.4%)	P=0.000	various schools.
	FHS	10 (13.9%)		The perception of students with
	TSHP	8 (12.7%)		respect to this item was
		- ()	χ2=27.467 Df=2	significantly independent of
Feedback from my teachers is frequent and timely	SFCHS	33 (46.5%)	P=0.000	school.
	FHS	34 (47.2%)		FHS and TSHP students
	-	()		
	. or n	01 (101270)		
The feedback I get always points to the areas of my				0
a , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			√2-17 561 Df-2	
	SECHS	57 (79 10/)		
		· · · ·	F=0.000	, ,
Teachara youally ariticize students when they fail in	-	()		
	-			
		· · · ·	P=0.104	5,
		()		
	-	()	<i>,</i> ,	
specified goals I need to achieve.	SFCHS	60 (84.5%)	P=0.823	5
				-
				various schools with respect to
Adequate feedback does not change my goal focus, I			χ2=22.370 Df=2	this item was significantly
stick to my plans.	FHS	14 (20.3%)	P=0.000	dependent on school.
	TSHP SFCHS FHS TSHP SFCHS FHS SFCHS FHS	31 (49.2%) 57 (78.1%) 35 (48.6%) 36 (57.1%) 47 (66.2%) 57 (81.4%) 50 (80.6%) 60 (84.5%) 14 (20.3%)	$\chi 2=17.561 \text{ Df}=2$ P=0.000 $\chi 2=4.519 \text{ Df}=2$ P=0.104 $\chi 2=0.390 \text{ Df}=2$ P=0.823 $\chi 2=22.370 \text{ Df}=2$ P=0.000	this item was significantly

Table 8. The association between feedback as motivational strategy and Level of students.

Feedback	Level of students	Agree	Chi-square test	Comment
I always get written information	1 st year	36 (46.2%)		Students' perception with respect
(feedback) from my teachers	2 ^{na} year	42 (51.2%)		to this item was significantly
concerning my performance after			χ2=9.400 Df=2	dependent on the level of
assessments.	3 rd &4 th year	11 (23.9%)	P=0.009	students.
	1 st year	25 (32.1%)		
Feedback from my teachers is	2 nd year	19 (23.2%)	χ2=4.586 Df=2	Students' perception was
frequent and timely	3 rd & 4 th year	7 (15.2%)	P=0.101	independent of level of student.
The feedback I get always points	1 st year	56 (71.8%)		
to the areas of my weakness and	2 nd year	49 (58.3%)		There was an association
specify what exactly I need to			χ2=14.4.87 Df=2	between this item and level of
know in order to improve.	3 rd & 4 th year	17 (37.0%)	P=0.001	student, which was significant.
	1 st year	47 (61.0%)		There was an association
	2 nd year	44 (53.0%)		between this item and student's
				perception which was
Teachers usually criticize students	ماد اد.		χ2=1.100 Df=2	independent of level of student,
when they fail in assessments.	3 rd & 4 th year	27 (58.7%)	P=0.577	though not statistically significant.
When I get adequate (prompt,	1 st year	59 (78.7%)		
specific, and positive) feedback	2 nd year	74 (88.1%)		
from my teachers, I focus more on				
the specified goals I need to			χ2=3.374 Df=2	Students' opinion on this item did
achieve.	3 rd & 4 th year	34 (77.3%)	P=0.185	not differ significantly.
				The association of students'
Adequate feedback does not				opinion with respect to this item
change my goal focus, I stick to	-1		χ2=0.418 Df=2	did not show any statistical
my plans.	1 st year	28 (36.8%)	P=0.811	significance.

With regards to the psychological climate of the classroom environment, the respondents indicated that nurse teachers are aware and make use of either group

or individual work in the teaching and learning process. This is shown in the table by 107 respondents (51.7%) who agreed for group competitions and 107 respondents Table 9. Opinions of students on the motivational effects of the classroom environment.

Perceived use of the Classroom environment as a motivational strategy	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	N
My teachers always inspect the classroom to ensure					
that it is clean (unnecessary objects are removed, clean and visible chalk board etc.) and adequately					
ventilated.	36 (17.4%)	45 (21.7%)	84 (40.6%)	42 (20.3%)	207
My teachers ensure that students are uniformly seated	00 (11.170)	10 (21.170)	01(10.070)	12 (20.070)	207
in class. (for example- students are not concentrated					
at the back leaving empty seats in front of the class).	18 (8.7%)	23 (11.1%)	97 (46.9%)	69 (33.3%)	207
Group competitions are always organized during			70 (00 00()		
teaching sessions.	38 (18.4%)	62 (30.0%)	79 (38.2%)	28 (13.5%)	207
The group with the best work/exercise is always rewarded (praised, given extra marks, amongst					
others).	59 (29.5%)	73 (36.5%)	49 (24.5%)	19 (9.5%)	200
Students are always encouraged to work as	00 (2010 /0)	10 (00.070)	10 (2 110 /0)	10 (0.070)	200
individuals during teaching sessions	27 (13.3%)	69 (34.0%)	81 (41.9%)	22 (10.8%)	203
Individual students with outstanding performance have					
their work read in class.	55 (26.7%)	80 (38.8%)	53 (25.7%)	18 (8.7%)	206
My teachers always set clear rules for class behaviour (for example- students obtain permission before					
walking in or out of the class, no late comers when					
teaching, is going on amongst others).	13 (6.3%)	31 (15.0%)	109 (52.7%)	54 (26.1%)	207
My teachers ensure that set class rules are followed			(0_1, 7, 7)	- (,-)	
and students who fail to keep rules are punished.	12 (5.9%)	50 (24.4%)	97 (47.3%)	46 (22.4%)	205
Multiple Response Analyses	258 (15.7%)	433 (26.4%)	653 (39.8%)	298 (18.1%)	1642
Effects of the Classroom Environment					
A dirty and disorganised class makes it hard for me to be attentive in class.	16 (7.8%)	32 (15.7%)	100 (49.0%)	56 (27.5%)	204
Working in groups with other students challenges me	10 (7.078)	52 (15.776)	100 (49.078)	50 (27.576)	204
to study harder.	4 (2.0%)	17 (8.5%)	70 (34.8%)	110 (54.7%)	201
I increase my study efforts when a group reward is	(()	- (,		-
given to the best group.	12 (5.9%)	37 (18.3%)	105 (52.0%)	48 (23.8%)	202
I am encouraged to learn when class rules are defined	- ()				
and the teacher ensures they are kept	5 (2.5%)	32 (15.8%)	112 (55.2%)	54 (26.6%)	203
Multiple Response Analyses	37 (4.6%)	118 (14.6%)	387 (47.8%)	268 (33.1%)	810

Table 10. Collapsed opinions of students on the motivational effects of the classroom environment.

Perceived use of the Classroom Environment as a Motivational Strategy	Disagree	Agree	Ν
My teachers always inspect the classroom to ensure that it is clean			
(unnecessary objects are removed, clean and visible chalk board amongst			
others.) and adequately ventilated.	81 (39.1%)	126 (60.1%)	207
My teachers ensure that students are uniformly seated in class. (for example-			
students are not concentrated at the back leaving empty seats in front of the	41		
class).	(19.8%)	166 (80.2%)	207
Group competitions are always organized during teaching sessions.	100 (48.3%)	107 (51.7%)	207
The group with the best work/exercise is always rewarded (praised, given			
extra marks, amongst others.).	132 (66.0%)	68 (34.0%)	200
Students are always encouraged to work as individuals during teaching			
sessions	96 (47.3%)	107 (52.7%)	203
Individual students with outstanding performance are rewarded (praised, have	135		
their work read in class).	(65.5%)	71 (34.5%)	206
My teachers always set clear rules for class behaviour (like students obtain			
permission before walking in or out of the class, no late comers when	44		
teaching, is going on).	(21.3%)	163 (78.7%)	207
My teachers ensure that set class rules are followed and students who fail to	62	. ,	
keep rules are punished.	(30.2%)	143 (69.8%)	205
Multiple Response Analyses	691 (42.1 %)	951 (57.9%)	1642

Table 10.contd.

A dirty and disorganised class makes it hard for me to be attentive in class.	48 (23.5%)	156 (76.5%)	204
	21		201
Working in groups with other students challenges me to study harder.	(10.4%)	180 (89.6%)	
I increase my study efforts when a group reward is given to the best group. I am encouraged to learn when class rules are defined and the teacher	49 (24.3%)	153 (75.7%)	202
ensures they are kept.	37 (18.2%)	166 (81.8%)	203
Multiple Response Analyses	155 (19.1%)	655 (80.9%)	810

Table 11. The association between the classroom environment as a motivational strategy and schools.

school FHS	Agree	Chi-Square test	
	16 (22.5%)	•	Comment
-	· · ·		The perception o
TSHP	44 (69.8%)		The perception c
		χ2=72.680 Df=2	students was significantl
	```	P=0.000	dependent on school.
FHS			Students' perception wit
TSHP	55 (87.3%)		respect to this item wa
	. ,	γ2=40.829 Df=2	significantly simila
SECHS	71 (97 3%)	<i>1</i> 0	irrespective of school.
	( )		There was a significar
-	· /		difference in students
ISHE	20 (44.4 %)		
050110	00 (0 4 00)	<i>1</i> 0	perception with respec
	· · ·	P=0.000	to schools.
-	· · · ·		Students' perception wit
TSHP	51 (82.3%)		respect to this iter
		χ2=32.711 Df=2	differed significant
SFCHS	28 (40.0%)	, <b>.</b>	among school.
	· · · ·		There was a significar
-	· · ·	√2–13 077 Df–2	difference in students
	( )		
		P=0.001	perception by schools.
-	· · ·		Students of the variou
ISHP	9 (14.3%)		schools showe
			significantly differer
		χ2=28.217 Df=2	perception, with regard
SFCHS	41 (56.9%)	P=0.000	to this item.
FHS	45 (62.5%)		
TSHP	( )		
			Irrespective of schoo
		v2-23 204 Df-2	students' perception wa
<b>SECUS</b>	CO (OF 00/)	<i>i</i> •	significantly similar.
	· · · ·	P=0.000	
-	· · ·		The association betwee
-	· · · ·	<i>1</i> 0	this item and school
SFCHS		P=0.000	differed significantly.
FHS	55 (77.5%)		
TSHP	50 (80.6%)	χ2=1.489 Df=2	
SFCHS	· · ·		
	· · · ·		The association betwee
			this item and school di
ISHE	23 (31.170)		
	00 (47 00()		not differ significant
	```	P=0.013	irrespective of school.
	· · · ·		There was not significar
-		χ2=2.723 Df=2	association with respec
SFCHS	59 (86.6%)	P=0.256	to school.
FHS	24 (33.8%)		There was no significar
TSHP			difference in students
	(0011 /0)	√2=3 074 Df=2	perception with respec
SECHS	31 (18 1%)	, .	to school.
	SFCHS FHS TSHP SFCHS FHS TSHP SFCHS FHS TSHP SFCHS FHS TSHP SFCHS FHS TSHP SFCHS FHS TSHP SFCHS FHS TSHP SFCHS FHS TSHP SFCHS FHS TSHP	SFCHS 66 (90.4%) FHS 40 (56.3%) TSHP 55 (87.3%) SFCHS 71 (97.3%) FHS 17 (23.9%) TSHP 28 (44.4%) SFCHS 62 (84.9%) FHS 53 (77.9%) TSHP 28 (40.0%) FHS 32 (45.7%) TSHP 26 (41.3%) SFCHS 49 (70.0%) FHS 21 (29.6%) TSHP 9 (14.3%) SFCHS 41 (56.9%) FHS 45 (62.5%) TSHP 49 (77.8%) SFCHS 69 (95.8%) FHS 33 (45.8%) TSHP 46 (73.0%) SFCHS 64 (91.4%) FHS 55 (77.5%) TSHP 50 (80.6%) SFCHS 51 (71.8%) FHS 17 (23.9%) TSHP 23 (37.7%) SFCHS 33 (47.8%) FHS 67 (94.4%) TSHP 59 (86.6%) FHS 59 (86.6%) FHS 24 (33.8%) TSHP	$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$

	FHS	56 (81.2%)		The perception of
	TSHP	38 (62.3%)		students' with regards to this item was
				independent of school,
I increase my study efforts when a group		(- (()	χ2=8.613 Df=2	and it was statistically
reward is given to the best group	SFCHS	59 (81.9%)	P=0.013	significant.
I increase my study effort (more library	FHS	58 (81.7%)		
time) when rewards are given to individual	TSHP	46 (74.2%)	χ2=1.239 Df=2	The association was
students.	SFCHS	57 (80.3%)	P=0.538	insignificant.
	FHS	56 (78.9%)		Though the perception of
	TSHP	55 (88.7%)		students was
am encouraged to learn when class				independent of school,
rules are defined and the teacher ensures			χ2=2.884 Df=2	however, it was not
they are kept.	SFCHS	55 (78.6%)	P=0.237	significant.
		. ,		There existed a slight
				difference in students'
				perception with regards
Whether class rules are defined and kept			χ2=9.0179 Df=2	to school, which was
or not, does not distract me from learning.	FHS	25 (35.7%)	P=0.010	however significant.

Table 12. The association between the classroom environment as a motivational strategy and level of students.

Classroom environment as a	Level of			
motivational strategy	students	Agree	Chi-square test	Comment
My teachers always inspect the	1 st year	52 (66.7%)		Though the perception of
classroom to ensure that it is clean	2 nd year	56 (66.7%)		third and fourth year students
(unnecessary objects are removed,				differed, students' perception
clean and visible chalk board) and	3 rd & 4 th		χ2=10.514 Df=2	was similar, and this was
adequately ventilated.	year	18 (40.0%)	P=0.005	statistically significant.
My teachers ensure that students are	1 st year	64 (82.1%)		There was an association
uniformly seated in class. (students are	2 nd vear	72 (85.7%)		between this item, which was
not concentrated at the back leaving	3 rd & 4 th	. ,	χ2=6.965 Df=2	independent of level o
empty seats in front of the class).	year	30 (66.7%)	P=0.031	student.
.,	1 st vear	44 (56.4%)		
	2 nd year	41 (48.8%)		The association between this
Group competitions are always	3^{rd} & 4^{th}	,	χ2=1.116 Df=2	item and level of student was
organized during teaching sessions.	vear	22 (48.9%)	₽=0.572	insignificant.
6 6 6	1 st year	30 (40.0%)		But for third and fourth yea
The group with the best work/exercise	2 ^{^{IIII} vear}	32 (39.5%)		students, the association was
is always rewarded (praised, given	3 rd & 4 th	,	χ2=10.429 Df=2	significantly independent o
extra marks).	year	6 (13.6%)	P=0.005	level of student.
,	1 st year	42 (56.0%)		
Students are always encouraged to	2 nd vear	45 (54.2%)		Students' perception was
work as individuals during teaching	3 rd & 4 th	. ,	χ2=1.635 Df=2	significantly independent o
sessions.	year	20 (44.4%)	P=0.442	level.
	1 st year	28 (36.4%)		There was a significan
	2 nd year	35 (41.7%)		association between this item
Individual students with outstanding		,		and level of student, which
performance have their work read in	3 rd & 4 th		χ2=7.600 Df=2	was independent on level o
class.	year	8 (17.8%)	P=0.022	student.
My teachers always set clear rules for	1 st vear	63 (81.8%)		
class behaviour (students obtain	2 nd year	66 (78.6%)		
permission before walking in or out of	,			The association was simila
the class, no late comers when			χ2=1.078 Df=2	irrespective of level, though
teaching, is going on).	3 rd year	34 (73.9%)	P=0.583	this was insignificant.
3, - 3 ,-	1 st year	55 (73.3%)		
My teachers ensure that set class rules	2 nd year	55 (65.5%)		An insignificant association
are followed and students who fail to	3^{rd} & 4^{th}	(,0)	χ2=1.270 Df=2	irrespective of level of
keep rules are punished.	vear	33 (71.7%)	P=0530	student.

Table 12.Contd.

	1 st year	50 (66.7%)			
A dirty and disorganised class makes it hard for me to be attentive in class	2 nd year 3 rd & 4 th	70 (83.3%)	χ2=6.517 Df=2 P=0.038	A significant association existed which was not dependent on level of	
	year 1 st year 2 nd	36 (80.0%) 29 (38.7%)		student.	
I am always attentive in class whether the	- year 3 rd & 4 th	30 (37.0%)	χ2=0.724 Df=2	An insignificant association irrespective of level of	
class is clean and organised or not.	year 1 st year 2 nd	14 31.1(%) 63 (84.0%)	P=0.696	student.	
Working in groups with other students	year 3 rd & 4 th	73 (90.1%)	χ2=5.745 Df=2 P=0.056	There was a significantly similar association which was not dependent on level of student.	
challenges me to study harder.	year 1 st year 2 nd	44 (97.8%) 31 (43.7%)			
I study harder when I work alone.	year 3 rd & 4 th	30 (37.0%)	χ2=0.692 Df=2 P=0.708	Students' perception did not differ significantly irrespective	
	year 1 st year 2 nd	18 (40.0%) 58 (77.3%)		of level of student.	
I increase my study efforts when a group	year 3 rd & 4 th	66 (78.6%)	χ2=2.082 Df=2 P=0353	The association was	
reward is given to the best group.	year 1 st year 2 nd	29 (67.4%) 60 (78.9%)		statistically insignificant.	
I increase my study effort (more library time etc) when rewards are given to individual students.	year 3 rd & 4 th	70 (84.3%)	χ2=4.186 Df=2	There was no significant association between this item	
	year 1 st year 2 nd	31 (68.9%) 59 (77.6%)	P=0.123	and level of student.	
I am encouraged to learn when class rules	year 3 rd & 4 th	71 (85.5%)		The association was	
are defined and the teacher ensures they are kept.	4 year	36 (81.8%)	χ2=1.666 Df2= P=0.435	independent of students' level. The association of this item	
Whether class rules are defined and kept or not, does not distract me from learning.	1 st year	35 (46.7%)	χ2=1.070 Df=2 P=0.586	and level of student was statistically insignificant.	

(52.7%) who agreed for encouraging students to work as individuals. However, the respondents further indicated that neither group competition nor individual work is appropriately reinforced as shown by 132 respondents (66.0%) who disagreed and 135 respondents (65.5%) who disagreed for either group or individual rewards respectively.

However, 180 respondents (89.6%) out of 201 indicated that they are motivated to learn when they work in groups as opposed to 21 respondents (10.4%) who disagreed and 153 respondents (75.7%) out of 202 who agreed that they are motivated to learn when rewards

are given to the best groups while 49 respondents (24.3%) disagreed.

On the use of class rules to ensure an appropriate psychological classroom environment, 163 respondents (78.7%) agreed and 143 (69.8%) respondents on setting and observation of class rules as opposed to 44 respondents (21.3%) and 62 respondents (30.2%) who disagreed with the last two items respectively. 166 respondents (81.8%) respondents out of 203 indicated that they are motivated to learn when class rules are defined and maintained, while 37 respondents (18.2%) disagreed.

Research Questions (objective)	Correlation coefficient (r)	Significance	p-value	significance Significant at the 0.01	
	V	very strong positive	;		
1		0.800	correlation	0.000	level.
2		0.000	no correlation	1.000	Statistically significant
			very strong positive)	significant at the 0.01
3		0.800	correlation	0.000	level

Table 13. Summary of findings for the study.

Interpretation of Results

The correlation coefficient r = 0.800, a value within the range of $0.75 \le r < 1$. This implies there is a very strong positive correlation between the independent and the dependent variables. p=0.000 (**) since the value of p is less than 0.01(P<0.01), it implies the correlation between the classroom environment and learning is significant at the 0.01 level. The relationship did not occur by chance because the p-value is small. Therefore, nursing students perceived the use of the classroom environment as having a very strong positive impact on their learning.

Table 13 shows a summary of the findings of the study. Amongst the variables under investigation, very strong correlations of independent and dependent variables occurred between assessment and classroom environment andlearning perfect positive correlations. No correlation existed between feedback and learning (Tables 9, 10, 11 and 12).

DISCUSSION

From the above results discussions are visible and summarized as:Students perceived the use of assessment as having a very strong positive influence on their learning. The result of this study agrees with that of Gijbels et al. (2005) and of Gibbs and Lucas (1997), which indicates that assessment shapes learning.

Students perceived the use of feedback as having a weak influence on their learning. With regards to feedback serving as motivation to learn, the findings of this study contradicts that of Rucker and Thomson (2003) which suggested that feedback as a learning process has a helpful effect on students' performance and that of Usman et al. (2011) which indicated that feedback and job role innovation have a significant impact on organizational learning culture, taking learning beyond the context of schooling. However, this finding is in line with that of Maclellen (2001) which demonstrated that feedback was only sometimes helpful and did not spur discussion between students and teachers.

Students perceived the use of the classroom environment as having a very strong impact on their learning. The finding of the current study is in agreement with the results of Beak and Choi (2002) on the impact of the classroom environment, the classroom environment was claimed to be a good predictor of students' academic achievement.

Conclusion

Data analysis revealed that there is a very strong positive correlation between assessment and learning. Though students perceive assessment as motivational, the use of assessment as a motivational strategy is inadequate.

A weak correlation has been found to exist between feedback and learning. However, the use of feedback as a motivational strategy was inadequate. This finding indicates that feedback has a weak influence on nursing students' learning.

There is a very strong positive correlation between the classroom environment and learning, though the use of the classroom environment as a motivational strategy is inadequate.

RECOMMENDATIONS

i.) Nurse educators should always endeavour to understand the motivational strategies of their student.

ii.) Nurse educators should use the identified motivational strategies to enhance learning.

iii.) Nurse educators should counsel students on these motivational strategies for better learning and future better patient outcome.

REFERENCES

- Beak SG, Choi HJ (2002). The Relationship Between Students' Perceptions of Classroom Environment and Their Academic Achievement in Korea. Asia Pacific Edu. Rev., 3(1): 125-135. Retrieved 23 August, 2011 from http://eri.snu.ac.kr/aper/pdf/3-1/11-11.pdf
- Biehler RF, Snowmnan J ,1986. Psychology Applied to Teaching 5th Edn., Boston Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Bostock S. (2001). Student peer assement.Keele University. Retrieved18 October, 2010 fromhttp://www.keele.ac.uk/depts/aa/landt/lt/docs/ltsnics-bostock.rtf
- BoudD, 1988. Developing student autonomy in learning.2ndedn., London: Kogan Page.

- Boulton V (2009). What's your learning style? Vicky B Consulting.Retrieved20May,2010form:
- http://www.vickibconsulting.com/gpage1.html
- Brophy JE (1979). Teacher behaviour and it effects.J.Edu.Psychol., 71:733-750.
- Capel S, Gervis M (2005). Motivating Pupils.In S. Capel, M. Leask and T. Turner (Eds.). Learning to teach in Secondary School: A Companion to school experience (4thed.). Routledge
- Castling A (1996). Competence-based Teaching and Training. Hong Kong, China: Macmillan Press Ltd.
- Fontana D. (1981). Psychology for Teachers. London: Macmillan Press Ltd.
- Gibbs G, Lucas L (1987). Coursework assessment, class size and student performance: 1984-94. J. Further and Higher Edu., 21(2):183-192.
- Gijbels D, Van de Watering G, Dochy F, Van den Bossche P (2005). The relationship between students' approaches to learning and the assessment of learning outcomes.Eur. J. PsycholEdu.,XX (4), 327-341.(Accessed 24/08/2011)
- Good T (1982). Classroom research: What we know and what we need to know. R and D Report No. 9018. Austin: Research and Development Center for Teacher Education, University of Texas.
- Haydn T (2005). Assessment for Learning. In S. Capel, M. Leask& T. Turner (Eds.). Learning to teach in Secondary School: A Companion to school experience (4thed.). Routledge
- Maclellen E (2001). Assessment for learning: the different perceptions of tutors and students. Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education, 26 (4):307-318.
- McManus, K. (2005). No feedback, no motivation. Great Systems. Retrieved10 November, 2010 from: www.greatsystems.com/feedmot.htm

- McMillan JH (2000). Fundamental assessment principles for teachers and school administrators.Practical Assessment, Research and Evaluation, 7(8). Available at: http://PAREonline.net/getvn.asp?v=7&n=8 (Accessed 6/10/2010).
- Miller CMI, Parlett M (1974). Up to the Mark: a study of the examination game. Guildford: Society for Research into Higher Education.
- Nana C (2010). Research Methods and Statistical Analysis: a Practical Guide for Applied Statistics Using SPSS3rdedn.,Buea: GOOAHEAD.
- Rucker ML., Thomson S (2003). Assessing student learning outcomes: an investigation of the relationship among feedback measures.Coll. Stud. J., 37(3): 400-405.
- Tambo LI (2003). Principles and methods of teaching: applications in Cameroon schools. Anucam
- Usman A, Danish RQ, Waheed N, Tayyeb U (2011). Moderating effect of employees' education on relationship between feedback, job role innovation and organizational learning culture. Afr. J.Bus. Manage.,5(5): 1684-1690.